## REMARKS

Claims 1 - 18 have been rejected under § 103. Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Claims 4, 7 and 15 have been amended in response to the Claim Objections.

## § 103 Rejection

Claims 1 - 11 have been rejected under § 103 based on Carr (U.S. 4,330,130) in view of James, Sr. (U.S. 6,173,957). Claims 12 - 18 have been rejected under § 103 based on Carr in view of James, Sr. ("James") and Rakonjac (U.S. 5,776,021). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Independent claims 1 and 12 require that the disc(s) have a scoring value indicator which corresponds to a number of points that can be scored with the disc(s). No cited art teaches or suggests this limitation. Applicants also note that - even if such art is cited - there is no motivation or reason to combine it with Carr since Carr is directed solely to a simulated bowling game and, in bowling, there is no reason to have a score value indicator on a bowling ball or on the flying saucer that is thrown in Carr.

Regarding Claim 15, Applicants respectfully submit that no cited art and no art of record auggests or discloses a method as claimed herein wherein a score is achieved by hitting a player with a thrown disc. No cited art teaches or suggests that a target can be a player. Carr is directed only to knocking over pins and has no teaching or suggestion of - or need for - hitting a player. Similarly James has no such teaching or suggestion. Rakonjac has no teaching or suggestion of scoring by hitting a person with a thrown disc.

Applicants respectfully submit that the claims define nonobvious, patentable subject matter.

2818935258

## Specifications & Objections

Descriptions of drawing figures begin on separate lines (see new pages 3 - 5 enclosed).

In the specification, Page 1, line 12 the word "having" is deleted.

Regarding antecedent basis for language in claims 11 and 18, these claims have been amended (see, e.g. Specification at Page 1, line 13 and Page 2, line 1).

Claims 4, 7, and 15 have been amended as suggested in the Office Action.

## Conclusion

Applicants appreciate the careful and detailed Office Action. This is intended to be a complete Response to the Office Action. Early and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 4 Jan 2005

**PMB 347** 16690 Champion Forest Drive Spring, TX. 77379--7023

Phone: 281 893 5244

	CERTI	FICATE OF MAILING	UNDER 37 C.F.E	. \$1.8(a)	
I here	Dy certify that thi	.s correspondence	18 being deposi	ted with auffin	ient postage as first
CIEDE V.D. INE.	YY ATOU COS OUICEO	States Postal Sei	Vice in an enve	lone addraggad (	ra. Mail Ston Non Pee
Amendments;	Complesioner for	Patenta: P.C	Box 1450	Alexandria	VA 22313-1450, on
<u> </u>	TAVOL			A CAMPAILE	VA 22313-1430, QI
	1 4 104-4		/(D	1 .	
Date:	<u> 4</u> Ja	M 02	ノアノ	( 11 /	
			Ody Neclum	g, Reg. No. 29,	AO8
			Ju, neerun	g, kcg. 100 23,	000
•					
			- (		
	C	ERTIFICATE OF PA	WINTLE TRANSMIT	EGTON	
I here	by cartify that the	original of the	# correspondence	o is being force	imile transmitted to
the Examiner	at Mail Stop Non P	as Amendments C	mmississes for	E 18 Delig face	Box 1450; Alexandria
VA 22313-1450	On L Tille	) Carcaramones, C	murae tones tot	Patence; P.O.	BOX 14501 Alexandria
		· · ·			
Date:	4.700	01—	/ 7 5		<i>/</i> .
			Contraction of the Contraction o	1, Reg. No. 73.	
01	W # 703 8	776781	May McClud	i, keg. No.//s,	008
77) <i>VW</i> I	k) #= 103 V	127306			
70 1000		-		•	
		,	7		
		/	•		
		,			